

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/671,260                                   | 09/25/2003      | Dorte Lunoe Dunweber | 6546.200-US         | 6556             |
| . 23650<br>NOVO NORE                         | 7590 12/20/2006 | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| NOVO NORDISK, INC.<br>PATENT DEPARTMENT      |                 |                      | RUTHKOSKY, MARK     |                  |
| 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST<br>PRINCETON, NJ 08540 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| TRINCETON                                    |                 |                      | 1745                |                  |
|  |                 |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                 |                      | 12/20/2006          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| /          |  |
|------------|--|
| <b>.</b> , |  |
| V          |  |

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/671,260      | DUNWEBER ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |
| Mark Ruthkosky  | 1745            |  |  |

|   |  |   | -  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|   | Mark Ruthkosky   | 1745  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>                         | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu            | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C              | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A  |  | in the final rejection, wh                                | ichever is later. In                       |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejection                             | on.  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   |  | 00(-) 4 (6  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office     | iate extension fee<br>ce action: or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                     | is of the date of<br>e appeal. Since       |
| AMENDMENTS  |  | 7 Of K 41.57(a).  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beto</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯<br>w);  | ΓE below);  |  |
| appeal; and/or  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | duoning of ouripinying                                    | .10 133403 101                             |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.  |  | mpliant Amendment (                                       | PTOL-324).                                 |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  |   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).   |  | -   | •  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  | │ will not be entered, or b) │ wil<br>vided below or appended.   | I be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                              |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | t before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and                 |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessard.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. So   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1           | ls to provide a ).                         |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowar                                     | ice because:                               |
| <del></del>   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  | MAPIK PUT   | #OSKY<br>KAMINER                           |
| ·   |  | Nakfuthit   | M 12.15.06                                 |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment of the claims requires further search and consideration.

M